IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

JERRY EUGENE DEATHERAGE,)
Petitioner,)
V.) No. CIV-04-1716-L
RANDALL WORKMAN, et al.,)
Respondents.)

ORDER

This matter is before the court for review of the Report and Recommendation issued by the Honorable Robert E. Bacharach on March 16, 2005, in which he recommended that the petition for writ of habeas corpus be dismissed as untimely. In his timely filed objections, petitioner argues that the statute of limitations should be equitably tolled because his failure to comply with procedural rules of the Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals was through no fault of his own. The Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit recently reiterated that equitable tolling is limited "to 'rare and exceptional' circumstances." <u>Burger v. Scott</u>, 317 F.3d 1133, 1141 (10th Cir. 2003) (*quoting* <u>Gibson v. Klinger</u>, 232 F.3d 799, 808 (10th Cir. 2000)). The Court found that

[e]quitable tolling would be appropriate, for example, when a prisoner is actually innocent, when an adversary's conduct — or other uncontrollable circumstances — prevents a prisoner from timely filing, or when a prisoner actively pursues judicial remedies but files a defective

Case 5:04-cv-01716-L Document 18 Filed 07/05/05 Page 2 of 2

pleading during the statutory period. Simple excusable

neglect is not sufficient.

Burger, 317 F.3d at 1141-42 (quoting Gibson, 232 F.3d at 808). Equitable tolling "is

only available when an inmate diligently pursues his claims and demonstrates that

the failure to timely file was caused by extraordinary circumstances beyond his

control." Marsh v. Soares, 223 F.3d 1217, 1220 (10th Cir. 2000), cert. denied, 531

U.S. 1194 (2001).

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), the court must "make a de novo determination

of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations

to which objection is made." In accordance with this mandate, the court has

reviewed the Report and Recommendation, petitioner's objections thereto and the

case file. Based on this review, the court concludes that petitioner has

demonstrated his entitlement to equitable tolling. In this case, petitioner actively

pursued his judicial remedies, but filed a defective pleading because of the actions

of prison authorities. The court therefore finds that the petition, which was deemed

filed on December 13, 2004, was timely filed. In light of this ruling, this matter is

recommitted to Judge Bacharach for further proceedings.

It is so ordered this 5th day of July, 2005.

TIM LEONARD

United States District Judge

2